

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/SH/C/2014/000436/KY

Complainant : Shri K. Nagraj Bhat
D-402, Powergrid Township
Sec-43, Gurgaon-122002

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o. Youth Affairs & Sports
Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing : 22.08.2016
Date of Decision : 22.08.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Rakesh Kumar, Under Secretary & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **30.09.2013**, (as per Compliant) is not on record.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **22.10.2013**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **03.11.2013**, **28.10.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **20.11.2013**, **22.11.2013**, enclosed the copy of point no 1 and 2, enclosures need to be obtain.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **NIL** are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the complainant, vide his RTI Application dated **30.09.2013**, has sought information from the respondents. Respondents vide their response dated **22.10.2013**, provided the required information to the complainant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA dated **03.11.2013** was filed by the Complainant before FAA, who vide his order dated **22.11.2013**, disposed of the FA. Hence, Complaint was filed by the complainant on **NIL**, before this Commission.

2. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.
3. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

M/o. Youth Affairs & Sports

Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

Shri K. Nagraj Bhat

D-402, Powergrid Township

Sec-43, Gurgaon-122002