

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhushi Sridhar)

Central Information Commissioner

CIC/RM/C/2014/000422-SA

V. Malik v. PIO, Tis Hazari Court

Important Dates and time taken:

RTI: 07.01.2014	Complaint : 27.03.2014	
Disposed of	Hearing: 14.09.2016	Decided on: 21.09.2016

Parties Present:

1. Appellant: Present.

Public authority: Ms. Usha Arora, PIO, Mr. Raj Kumar.

FACTS:

2. The appellant sought following information about ADJ-9 (Central) :-
- i) Educational Qualification with duly attested copies of his all certificates.
 - ii) Technical Qualification with duly attested copies of his all certificates.
 - iii) Duly attested copy of record on his first appointment in judicial services.
 - iv) Complete details of all special courses/trainings taken by him.
 - v) Details of his all promotions/demotions with attested copies of orders.
 - vi) Duly attested copies of his all Medical Fitness with Sight Testing Reports.

- vii) Name/designations of Monitoring Authority to check quality of his orders.
- viii) Whether his order dated 25.07.2011 in CS.222/11 checked, if it was vague & evasive. Provide findings/comments with action taken report.
- ix) Whether his order dated 20.09.2011 & 24.11.2011 in CS.222/11 checked, if both were quite unfair & illogical to pay the cost to DLSA for its own delay.
- x) Whether his order dated 21.01.2012 in CS.222/11 was checked, if it was rude enough and passed being annoyed by boycott of Rohini Bar Association.
- xi) Whether his order dated 02.02.2012 in CS.222/11 was checked, if it was reversely blind as passed without application of mind.
- xii) Details of his all other orders review/challenged with action taken report.
- xiii) Attested copy of record for his boycott by Rohini Bar Association in 2012.
- xiv) Copy of Action Taken Report on his boycott by Rohini Bar Association.
- xv) Details of any other boycott/strike against his wrongdoings/misdeeds.
- xvi) Provide inspection of records on the above points.

The CPIO denied information as third party information. Appellant approached the Commission.

Decision :

3. The Commission directs the CPIO to provide information regarding educational, technical qualification and experience of Sh. Jitender Kr. Mishra as given in bio-data/CV to the appellant under points 1 & 2 of RTI application. The appellant has no right to ask for medical fitness or sight testing reports of judicial officer. His points No. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 show that this appellant is disgruntled by the orders of the judicial officer, whom he is targeting under RTI.

4. RTI is not meant for such misuse by the persons aggrieved by judicial orders. Appellant can avail appeal rights as available. The Commission warns the appellant against misuse of RTI.

SD/-

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)
Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Dinesh Kumar)
Deputy Registrar

Copy of decision given to the parties free of cost.

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under RTI,
O/o Distt. & Session Judge (HQ), Delhi,
Tis Hazari Courts, RTI (Central),
Tis Hazari Court, Delhi-110054.
2. Shri V. Malik,
AN-20/D, Shalimar Bagh,
Delhi-110088.