

Central Information Commission, New Delhi
File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000004
Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (19)

Date of hearing : 13th January 2017

Date of decision : 13th January 2017

Name of the Appellant : **SHRI SHAHU RAO GAIKWAD**
TUSHAR RAMKRISHNA NAGAR,
BASMAT ROAD, PARBHANI,
MAHARASHTRA -431401,

Name of the Public Authority/Respondent : **CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER,**
NATIONAL BANK FOR AGRICULTURE AND
RURAL DEVELOPMENT
PLOT NO. C-24, 'G' BLOCK,
BANDRA-KURLA COMPLEX,
BANDRA-EAST, MUMBAI- 400051

RTI Application filed on : 16/09/2015

CPIO replied on : 08/10/2015

First Appeal filed on : 26/10/2015

First Appellate Authority order on : 26/11/2015

2nd Appeal received on : 09/12/2015

The Appellant was not present.

On behalf of the Respondents, Shri Jaideep Srivastava, CPIO was present at the NIC Studio, Mumbai.

Information Commissioner : **Shri Sharat Sabharwal**

Information sought

This matter concerns an RTI application filed by the Appellant, seeking information on nine points regarding action taken on his complaints dated 18.7.2014, 6.8.2014, 12.11.2014 and 29.7.2015 against Maharashtra Gramin Bank, Aurangabad, copies of investigation report, other documents related to investigation and related issues.

The CPIO reply

The CPIO gave a point wise reply to the Appellant and stated that no letters dated 18.7.2014, 6.8.2014 and 12.11.2014 were received by the Respondents.

Grounds of the First Appeal

Not satisfied with the CPIO's reply.

Order of the First Appellate Authority

The FAA upheld the CPIO's reply.

Grounds of the Second Appeal

Not satisfied with the information provided by the Respondents.

Relevant facts emerging during the Hearing, Discussion and Decision

The Appellant was not present in spite of a written notice having been sent to him. The Respondents reiterated the reply of the CPIO. They further submitted that the complaints of the Appellant were in connection with the education loan of his daughter in the Maharashtra Gramin Bank. We have considered the records placed before us and see no ground to interfere with the CPIO's reply to points No. 1, 5 to 9 of the RTI application. However, the CPIO is directed to transfer the queries at points No. 2, 3 and 4 of the application to the CPIO concerned of the Maharashtra Gramin Bank (MGB), under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act, within five days of the receipt of this order, under intimation to the Commission. The CPIO concerned of MGB is directed to respond to these queries, in keeping with the provisions of the RTI Act, within twenty days of their receipt from the CPIO of NABARD, under intimation to the

Commission. Such information, as is provided, should be provided free of charge.

2. With the above directions and observations, the appeal is disposed of.
3. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

Sd/-
(Sharat Sabharwal)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(Vijay Bhalla)
Deputy Registrar