

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000384**

**Dated 23.11.2016**

**Appellant** : ShriRam Dutt Mishra,  
S-1, 36K/5,  
Central Jail Road Cantt,  
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh-221 002.

**Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,  
Department of Personnel & Training,  
North Block, New Delhi-110 001.

**Date of Hearing** : 23.11.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 01.03.2015

CPIO's reply : 24.03.2015

First appeal filed on : 10.04.2015

Second appeal filed on : 22.07.2015

**ORDER**

1. ShriRam Dutt Mishra filed an application dated 01.03.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Department of Personnel and Training (DoPT) seeking information on two points pertaining to the provision for a review medical examination of a candidate on receipt of a complaint against him.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 22.07.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO has provided him misleading

information and that the First Appellate Authority (FAA) had not provided him any information.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant ShriRam Dutt Mishrawas not present despite notice. The respondent Shri Rajesh Sharma, Under Secretary, DoPT was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that the appellant was informed vide letter dated 24.03.2015 that no information has been sought as per Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, and that the CPIO is not obliged to provide clarifications under the RTI Act. The respondent further submitted that there are no specific rules/guidelines regarding review medical examinations and in case any complaint is filed, the Department concerned where the particular candidate is serving would take necessary further action in the matter.

**Decision:**

5. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of the respondent and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**