

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000381

Dated 24.11.2016

Appellant : Shri M.K. Gola,
F-71/5, Gali No.3, Subhash Vihar,
Bhajanpura,
Delhi-110 053.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/on ADCP cum PIO,
NorthEast District, Old PS, GTB Enclave,
Delhi-110 095.

Date of Hearing : 24.11.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 06.06.2015
CPIO's reply : 02.07.2015/19.08.2015
First appeal filed on : 17.07.2015
FAA's order : 31.07.2015
Second appeal filed on : 14.09.2015

ORDER

1. Shri M.K. Gola filed an application dated 06.06.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), North District, Delhi Police seeking information pertaining to FIR No.473 dated 07.09.2013.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 14.09.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that he has been provided incorrect and misleading information by the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri M.K. Gola and the respondent Shri Sanjay Singh, ACP(HQ), Delhi Police were present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that he has sought information regarding the owner etc. of the Rickshaw seized by the police on 07.09.2013. However, no information has been provided to him.

5. The respondent submitted that the point wise information of the RTI application was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 19.08.2015. The respondent further submitted that in compliance with FAA's order dated 31.07.2015, information was provided to the appellant on 19.08.2015. The respondent also submitted that the 'Rickshaw Cart' which was seized by the police is yet to be handed over to the owner as no information regarding the owner of the same is available on record. In view of this, the Rickshaw cart had been deposited in the District Nazir on 22.09.2016. The respondent also stated that no other information regarding the 'rickshaw cart' is available on record.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both parties and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant. Hence, no intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer