

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/SS/C/2013/000371/KY

Complainant : Shri Bhupinder Kumar
B-461, Chattarpur Extension
Near JVTS Garden, New Delhi-110074

Public Authority : The Director & CPIO
M/o Commerce & Industry, Dept. of Commerce,
Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi

The PIO
MMTC Ltd, Core-I, Scope Complex
7 Industrial Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

Date of Hearing : 23.08.2016
Date of Decision : 23.08.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri S. T. Venkatachallapathy, Deputy Secretary

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **28.05.2013**, the appellant sought information on **4 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **05.06.2013, 02.07.2013, 24.07.2013, 13.06.2016**, has provided the information on point no 2 and 4 to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **08.07.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **02.08.2013**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all,

it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.

2. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The Director & CPIO
M/o. Commerce & Industry, Dept. of Commerce,
Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi

The PIO
MMTC Ltd, Core-I, Scope Complex
7 Industrial Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

Shri Bhupinder Kumar
B-461, Chattarpur Extension
Near JVTS Garden, New Delhi-110074