

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000360

Dated 21.11.2016

Appellant : Shri Jeewan Chandra,
164, Lawyers' Chamber,
Delhi High Court,
New Delhi-110003.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Ministry of Home Affairs,
North Block,
New Delhi-110001.

Date of Hearing : 21.11.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 10.08.2015

CPIO's reply : 25.08.2015

First appeal filed on : 22.05.2015

Second appeal filed on : 09.10.2015

ORDER

1. Shri Jeewan Chandra filed an application dated 10.08.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Ministry of Home Affairs (MHA) seeking information on eight points pertaining to the decision/actions taken by the Inspection Team of

M.H.A. on the complaint of Shri B.N. Singh against the New Delhi Municipal Council (NDMC) including (i) copy of the representation/complaint of Shri B.N. Singh, former Director(Estate-I) vide which Shri Singh has levelled various allegations of corruption against NDMC, (ii) action taken by the Inspection Team on the complaint and (iii) whether the enquiry initiated by the Inspection Team under the Chairmanship of Shri Pathak has been concluded.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 09.10.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that incomplete information has been provided by the CPIO and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) did not respond to his first appeal.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Jeewan Chandra was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri Amit Yadav, Assistant Section Officer, Delhi-II, MHA was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that a reply in response to the RTI application dated 10.08.2015 was furnished vide letter dated 25.08.2015. The respondent further submitted that the information sought for by the appellant has been provided to him vide letters dated 25.08.2015 and 20.10.2015.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records observes that due information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer