

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000345/KY

Appellant : Shri Natter Prakash
414/8, Mohalla Dera Sahib
Manimajra, Chandigarh

Public Authority : The Asstt. Supdt. Of Police cum CPIO
Sub Division East, Sec-26, UT Chandigarh

The DSP/HQ cum CPIO/Hqrs
Police Headquarter, Sector-9, UT Chandigarh

Date of Hearing : 01.12.2016
Date of Decision : 01.12.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **29.05.2014**, the Appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **15.07.2014**, reportedly denied the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **01.08.2014**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **15.04.2015**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **03.07.2015**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent opted to be absent despite of our due notice to them.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **29.05.2014**, sought information from the respondents on **sole issue**. Respondents, vide their response dated **15.07.2014**, allegedly denied the required information to the appellant. Being

aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **01.08.2014** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **15.04.2015**, upheld the decision of CPIO.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that the CPIO, vide his response dated **15.07.2014**, **denied** the required information to the appellant by stating that information as sought for, pertains to year 1993. As such, it is as old as 23 years. Further, learned FAA, vide his order dated **15.04.2015**, disposed of the FA by upholding the views of CPIO.
3. The Commissioner **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **29.05.2014**, respondent's response dated **15.07.2014**, FAA's order dated **15.04.2015**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the plea taken by CPIO appears to be **justified** in the eyes of Law. As such, there is **no legal flaw** either in CPIO's response or FAA's order. Therefore, the CPIO's response dated **15.07.2014** and FAA's order dated **15.04.2015** are hereby **upheld** being **legally tenable**. In view of this, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The Asstt. Supdt. Of Police cum CPIO
Sub Division East, Sec-26, UT Chandigarh

The DSP/HQ cum CPIO/Hqrs
Police Headquarter, Sector-9, UT Chandigarh

Shri Natter Prakash
414/8, Mohalla Dera Sahib
Manimajra, Chandigarh