

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000342**

**Dated 29.11.2016**

**Appellant** : ShriVishwanath Ram Yadav,  
Rajatalab, Yadav Para,  
Raipur-492 001,  
Chhatisgarh.

**Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,  
Department of Pension & Pensioners  
Welfare, Lok Nayak Bhawan,  
Khan Market, New Delhi – 110 003.

**Date of Hearing** : 29.11.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 04.08.2015

CPIO's reply : 24.08.2015

First appeal filed on : 04.09.2015

FAA's order : 29.09.2015

Second appeal filed on : 05.10.2015

**ORDER**

1. ShriVishwanath Ram Yadav filed an application dated 04.08.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Department of Pension and Pensioners Welfare (DPPW) seeking information on five points pertaining to fixation of his pension subsequent to the implementation of the recommendations of the 6<sup>th</sup> Central Pay Commission.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 05.10.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the CPIO and the FAA, DPPW.

**Hearing:**

3. Shri S.N. Ram, representative of the appellant Shri Vishwanath Ram Yadav attended the hearing through video conferencing. The respondent Shri S.K. Makkar, Under Secretary, DPPW was present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that he had sought information relating to fixation of his pension subsequent to the implementation of the recommendations of the 6<sup>th</sup> Central Pay Commission. However, the same has not been provided to him. The appellant further submitted that the clarification as sought in point no. 3 of the RTI application as well as the reason as to why his pension has been reduced has also not been provided to him by the respondent.

5. The respondent submitted that a point wise reply was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 24.08.2015 along with the copy of the relevant OM in respect of information sought in point nos. 1, 2 and 5 of the RTI application. The respondent further submitted that the appellant was informed that the issues raised in point nos. 3 and 4 of the RTI application have been dealt with in para 4.1 of the OM dated 01.09.2008. The respondent also stated that the appellant seeks the redressal of grievances regarding his pay fixation. However, the RTI Act is not the proper law and that there are other appropriate fora for redressal of grievances.

**Decision:**

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, observes that due information as per available records has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. The Commission, also agrees with the respondent that the RTI Act is not the proper law for redressal of grievances and that there are other appropriate fora for the same. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**