

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000317**

**Dated 23.11.2016**

**Appellant** : Shri Harinder Dhingra,  
D.4-A/7, DLF, Phase 01,  
Gurgaon-122002.

**Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,  
Delhi Police O/o A.C.P.  
Economic Offences Wing,  
P.S. Mandir Marg Complex  
New Delhi-110001.

**Date of Hearing** : 23.11.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 05.06.2015

CPIO's reply : 14.07.2015

First appeal filed on : 16.07.2015

FAA's order : 20.08.2015

Second appeal filed on : 03.09.2015

**ORDER**

1. Shri HarinderDhingrafiled anapplication dated 05.06.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Public Information Officer (PIO),Economic Offences Wing (EOW), Delhi Police seeking information on six points pertaining to transfer and posting of Group 'B' gazetted and non-gazetted executive officer(s) and officers holding sensitive charge, including (i)

---

certified copy of latest norms/guidelines regarding transfer and posting in the grade of Group 'B' gazetted and non-gazetted executive officers and (ii) name of the officer(s) who had been holding sensitive charge from past four years either as regular charge or by way of additional charge.

**2.** The appellant filed a second appeal dated 03.09.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that if the information sought was not available with the CPIO, EOW, the CPIO should have either transferred the RTI application under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act to another Public Authority or should have sought assistance under Section 5(4) from another CPIO who is in possession of the information sought. The appellant requested the Commission to impose penalty under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act on the PIO and instruct him to provide complete information to the appellant.

**Hearing:**

**3.** The appellant Shri HarinderDhingra was not present despite notice. The respondents Shri Pancham Chand, ACP, Crime Branch, Delhi Police and Shri Ravinder Kumar, Sub-Inspector, EOW, Delhi Police were present in person.

**4.** The respondent submitted that the appellant was informed vide letter dated 14.07.2015 that the information sought does not relate to the EOW. The respondent further submitted that the RTI application was transferred under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act to the CPIO, PHQ for providing the requisite information and to CPIO, Crime Branch, Delhi Police for providing information on point no. 3 of the RTI application.

**5.** The respondent(PHQ) submitted that on receipt of the RTI application from EOW, information was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 06.08.2015. The appellant was also informed that a copy of Standing Order No. 289/2010 in respect of point no. 1 of the RTI application can be obtained on payment of the photocopying charges.

**Decision:**

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of the respondent and perusing the records, observes that information only in respect of point no. 1 of the RTI application was provided to the appellant by the CPIO, PHQ and no information in respect of point nos. 2 to 6 of the RTI application was provided to the appellant either by CPIO, EOW or CPIO, PHQ. The Commission, therefore, directs the CPIO, PHQ to provide information on point nos. 2 to 6 of the RTI application to the appellant, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**