

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/000299**

Appellant: Md Naiyar Kalam  
10/62 Gangaganj , Manohar Das Ki Bagh,  
Allahabad UP.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer  
DRM North Central Rly, RTI Cell, Allahabad Divn

Date of Hearing: 04.01.2017  
Dated of Decision: 04.01.2017

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 16.06.2014 seeking information regarding payment of travelling allowance for the month of Sep. 2013 and Oct. 2013 and arrears of increments etc.
2. The CPIO and FAA response is not on record. The appellant filed first appeal on 07.10.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The appellant filed appeal on 04.02.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing.
4. The appellant stated that on point no. 1 he has been provided incomplete information. The appellant stated that he has not been provided information with respect to point no. 2 to 4 at all. The respondent requested for action against the respondent for not providing information to him in time bound manner.
5. The respondent stated that they tender their unconditional apology for delay in providing the information. The respondent stated that they have replied to the appellant vide letter dated 22.12.2014. The respondent stated that the appellant was transferred, on deputation, to Rail Coach Factory Raibareilly, therefore, his allowance could not be cleared. The respondent

stated that now they have vetted the payment of the allowance from the account section and they are in process to make payment to the appellant very shortly. The respondent stated that the amount sanctioned for travelling allowance is Rs. 3015 +7805/- and for increment Rs. 2622/- . The respondent stated that in providing such information several departments are involved hence delay is caused. The respondent stated that the bills were cleared in 2014 but due to transfer of the appellant, the payment could not be made to him. The respondent stated the grievance of the appellant has been redressed.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. The information has been provided to the appellant and it appears that there was no malafide in causing delay in providing the information.

**Decision:**

7. No further action is required in the matter at the level of this Commission.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar

