

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/LS/C/2012/000299/KY

Appellant : Shri. B. Ramesh Babu,
D. No: 1-6-34, Gujjanagundla,
Guntur District, Guntur - 522006

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o Youth Affairs & Sports
O/o District Youth Coordination
Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan, Guntur- Guntur Dist AP,

Date of Hearing : 09.12.2016

Date of Decision : 09.12.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent

CPIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **22.08.2011**, the Appellant sought information on **3 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **02.11.2011 & 07.11.2011**, reportedly not provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **21.10.2011**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **31.01.2012**, are contained in the Memorandum of Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent opted to be absent despite of our due notice to them.

DECISION

At the outset, it is stated here that as per the contents of the prayer clause as embedded in the instant petition, **it is a case of second appeal**. However, the Central Registry registered it **wrongly as a complaint for the reasons best known to them**. Thus, it is being dealt with as **second appeal** and not as complaint.

2. It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **22.08.2011**, sought information from the respondents i.e. M/o Youth Affairs & Sports, O/o District Youth Coordination, Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan, Guntur on three issues, which reads as under:
 - a. Please provide information on the details of funds sanctioned by the Central Govt. From 01.04.2007 to 31.03.2011.
 - b. Please provide information district-wise the details of the NSVs who worked with the Public Authority and the wages paid to them etc from 01.04.2007 to 31.03.2010
 - c. Please provide information about the activities/programs undertaken by the NSVs during the period 01.4.2007 to 31.03.2010 and the details of the aid /funds granted by the public authority
3. Further, respondents, vide their response dated **02.11.2011**, allegedly **transferred** the appellant's RTI application to the concerned Public Authority for taking necessary action. Further, another CPIO vide his response dated **07.11.2011**, **provided** the required information to the appellant. Further, FA was filed by the appellant on **21.10.2011** before the FAA, who **could not take up** the same for its disposal **for the reasons best known to him**.
4. On careful perusal of the nature of issues as raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **22.08.2011** and respondent's response dated **07.11.2011**, it is revealed to the Commission that the respondents have **provided the complete & categorical** information to the appellant.
5. Apart from above, on careful perusal of the contents of respondent's written submissions dated **07.12.2016**, it is revealed to this Commission that Shri S. Imtiyaz Ahmed, Hon. State Information Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh, vide its order dated **19.07.2013**, has disposed of the matter by issuing the directions to the respondents to provide the required information to the appellant. However, it is not known to this Commission, as to how the State Information Commission is concerned with the matter, whereas, the subject matter of the RTI application, as quoted above, clearly pertains to Central Information Commission. Thus, this Commission feels that Hon. State Information Commissioner, **exceeded its jurisdiction**.
6. The Commissioner has **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **22.08.2011**, respondent's response dated **02.11.2011 & 07.11.2011**, other material made available on record including respondent's written submissions dated **07.12.2016** and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.

7. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
M/o Youth Affairs & Sports
O/o District Youth Coordination
Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan, Guntur- Guntur Dist AP

Shri. B. Ramesh Babu,
D. No: 1-6-34, Gujjanagundla,
Guntur District, Guntur - 522006