

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/C/2016/000290

Complainant : Smt. Sangeeta Sharma  
E-18/49, Sec-03, Rohini  
Delhi-110085

Public Authority : The CPIO  
M/o. Urban Development, Dept. of Publication  
Civil Lines, New Delhi-110054

Date of Hearing : 07.10.2016  
Date of Decision : 07.10.2016

## Presence:

Complainant : Absent  
CPIO : Shri G D Pandey, Asst. Controller (Admn.) & CPIO

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **18.04.2016**, the Complainant sought information on **4 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **16.05.2016**, denied to provided the information 2 (f) and 8 (1) (j).
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **20.05.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **29.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

## HEARING

**Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to her.** Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

During hearing of the case, it is submitted by Shri G. D. Pandey, Asst. Controller (Admn.) & CPIO, that the captioned Complaint is based upon complainant's earlier RTI application dated **18.04.2016**. It is further submitted by Shri G D Pandey that this Hon. Commission has already taken up the complainant's earlier complaint based on complainant's RTI application dated **18.04.2016** dealt in file no. **CIC/KY/C/2016/900262 on 30.09.2016** and passed it's speaking order on the same date.

2. The Commission has perused the nature of the issues as contained in complainant's present RTI application dated **18.04.2016** and complainant's earlier RTI application of same date and finds that both RTI applications are same. Not only this, the reply provided by the respondents, vide their same responses i.e. dated **16.05.2016** are also same.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of case, the Commission feels that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to dispose of the complainant's captioned complaint in terms of its earlier order dated **30.09.2016** passed in the complainant's earlier complaint based on complainant's same RTI application dated **18.04.2016**. Thus, the complainant's instant complaint is hereby disposed of in terms of Hon. CIC's earlier order dated **30.09.2016** passed in **file no. CIC/KY/C/2016/900262**.

The complaint is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)  
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO  
M/o. Urban Development, Dept. of Publication  
Civil Lines, New Delhi-110054

Smt. Sangeeta Sharma  
E-18/49, Sec-03, Rohini  
Delhi-110085