

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000282

Dated 03.11.2016

Appellant : Shri Praveen Kumar,
R.K. Puram, Sector-1,
Quarter No.357, Type-I,
New Delhi-110 022.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the DCP,
Police Control Room, PCR,
Model Town-II, Delhi-110 009.

Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the ADCP cum PIO,
North West District,
Ashok Vihar, New Delhi-110 052.

Date of Hearing : 03.11.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 01.05.2015

CPIO's reply : 08.06.2015/09.07.2015/14.07.2015

First appeal filed on : 06.07.2015

FAA's order : 21.07.2015/08.08.2015

Second appeal filed on : 20.10.2015

ORDER

1. Shri Praveen Kumar filed an application dated 01.05.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Police Headquarters (PHQ), Delhi seeking information pertaining to a complaint registered with Police Control Room

(PCR) on 26.10.2014. The CPIO, PCR, Model Town-II, Delhi forwarded vide letter dated 09.07.2015 the first appeal dated 06.07.2015 to PHQ and North West District, Delhi Police.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 20.10.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that he has not been provided any information.

Hearing:

3. Both the appellant Shri Praveen Kumar and the respondents Shri Sanjeev Tomar, ACP, PCR, Delhi Police and Shri Prem Kumar, Sub-Inspector, South-West District, Delhi Police were present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the respondent. The appellant further submitted that a case ought to have been registered against the accused.

5. The respondent (PCR) submitted that the PCR Call form and call book were provided to the appellant on payment of requisite fees on 17.06.2015. Hence, no further information remains to be provided on part of CPIO, PCR, Delhi Police.

6. The respondent (North-West District) submitted that on receipt of the RTI application from PHQ, information on points pertaining to North-West District was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 08.06.2015. Therefore, no further information on part of North-West District remains to be provided to the appellant. The respondent further submitted that appellant had sought redressal of his grievances. However, RTI Act is not the proper law for redressal of grievances and that there are other appropriate fora for the same.

Decision:

7. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant. The Commission also agrees with the respondent that the RTI Act is not the proper law for redressal of grievances/disputes and there are other appropriate fora for resolving such matters. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

8. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

9. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer