

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club building, Opposite Ber Sarai Market, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi- 110067.

Tel: 011 - 26182593/26182594

Email: registrycab@gmail.com

File No : CIC/VS/C/2015/000281-AB

In the matter of:

Upasna Sahni
C-2/19A, Model Town-III,
Delhi-110009

...Complainant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer
RTI Cell, IRCTC Ltd, 9th Floor
Bob Building
16 Parliament Street
New Delhi-110001

...Respondent

Dates

RTI application : 21.05.2013
Complaint : 13.07.2015
Date of hearing : 27.01.2016

Information sought:

The complainant had filed this complaint against non compliance of the CIC's order dated 10.04.15 in case no CIC/BS/A/2013/002151/VS.

Grounds for Complaint

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

Order

Complainant : Present
Respondent : CPIO, Shri P.C. Bihari, Jt.GM, HRD
alongwith PIO Shri P.K.Jha

During the hearing the complainant submitted that she did not receive the requisite information. The respondent CPIO wanted some time for filing written submission, which was granted upto 15th February, 2017.

After receipt of the written submission dated 09.02.2017 from the concerned CPIO, the case was put up by the registry for orders.

The CPIO in his written submission submitted that the Commission's order in File No. CIC/BS/A/2013/002151/VS was complied with in time. He submitted that the reply in regard to the points 1(h) and 2(a) of the said RTI application regarding name(s) of staff who travelled in the train and name(s) of DMC/FTO/Booking agents had been provided by the respondent after the decision of the CIC. The CPIO submitted a copy of the reply.

To verify the compliance of the order of the CIC dated 10.04.15, the decision in the said order was perused. The operative part of the decision was as follows:

“ 11. The respondent is directed to provide to the appellant, within 30 days of this order, the information sought on point 1(h) and 2(a) of the RTI application”

The Commission finds it relevant to peruse the RTI application. In Para 1(h) of the RTI application the applicant had sought the following information:

“ Name of the DMC/FTO/Travel Agents who booked the passengers.”

In Para 2(a) of the RTI application the applicant had sought the following information:

“Name of the staff travelling”

The list containing the name(s) of DMC/ FTO/ Booking agents and of staff travelling on 29.09.2012, were directed to be provided to the appellant.

On perusal of record it was seen that information in regard to both the paras was adequately provided to the complainant vide letter dated 29.04.2015, after the Commission's said order.

The Commission, after perusing the submissions of the respondent and the relevant records, observes that due information had been provided to the complainant by the respondent. The Commission further observes that since the order of the Commission dated 10.04.15 was already complied with, no ground exists to take action against the CPIO.

In view of the foregoing, further action in this instant complaint u/s 18 of the RTI Act is not required.

With the above observation, the complaint is disposed of.

**[Amitava Bhattacharyya]
Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(A.K.Talapatra)
Dy. Registrar

