

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/C/2016/000277

Complainant : Mr. Krishan Kumar
H. No. 1762-A, Sec-23B, Chandigarh

Public Authority : The Executive Engineer cum CPIO
CP Division No. 3, Sec-16
UT Chandigarh

The Assistant Engineer (Maintenance)
Booth, Sector-23 & 35, Chandigarh

The ACPIO cum Sub Divisional Engineer, Sectt. Mtc. Sub Division
Sector-1, UT Chandigarh

Date of Hearing : 28.09.2016

Date of Decision : 28.09.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Rajesh Kumar, Sub- Divisional Engineer & ACPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **14.09.2015**, the Complainant sought information on **8 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **18.09.2015**, **26.10.2015**, **02.12.2015** & **14.12.2015**, reportedly not provided the information to the Complainant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) is not on record.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **20.11.2015**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his

case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.

2. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The Executive Engineer cum CPIO
CP Division No. 3, Sec-16
UT Chandigarh

The Assistant Engineer (Maintenance)
Booth, Sector-23 & 35, Chandigarh

The ACPIO cum Sub Divisional Engineer,
Sectt. Mtc. Sub Division
Sector-1, UT Chandigarh

Mr. Krishan Kumar

H. No. 1762-A, Sec-23B, Chandigarh