

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110067
Tel : +91-11-26717355

Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/000276

Appellant: Mrs. Deepali Tulaskar 20, Parshuram, Bldg. Ram
Maruti, Road, Dadar West, Mumbai 400028

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
DCM, Western Rly Divisional Office, Mumbai
Central, Mumbai-400008

Date of Hearing: 04.11.2016

Date of Decision: 04.11.2016

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 09.06.2014 seeking information on 7 points regarding completion certificate of Station Building (New) Church Gate Mumbai-20, its structural audit details, and the date when the Building was ready for use etc.

2. The CPIO responded 12.06.2014, 07.07.2014 and 07.08.2014. The appellant filed first appeal on 04.08.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 10.09.2014. The appellant filed second appeal on 02.02.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing.

4. The appellant referred to her RTI application and stated that she was given misleading information. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to her. The appellant stated that she had mentioned in her RTI application that the information be given in Hindi language but she has not been replied to in Hindi.

5. The respondent stated that the reply to the appellant has been given vide letter dated 07.07.2014. The respondent stated that the information with regard to structural audit is not available with them. The respondent explained that in Railways there is no provision for structural audit of the building by an outsider. The respondent stated that they have their own mechanism for inspection of the buildings. The respondent stated that as per Railway Act and relevant Manual, they need not get any certificate from the local Municipal Corporation. The respondent stated that the manual and the Railway Act are available on their website.

6. The appellant stated that she has not been informed about these details.

7. The respondent agreed to give additional explanations in writing to the appellant.

Discussion/ observation:

8. The reply to the RTI application has been given. The appellant may download the Office Manual and the Railway Act from the website.

Decision:

9. Respondent is directed to give explanations, as stated above, to the appellant within 30 days of this order.

The appeal is disposed of. A copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar