

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26717355

Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/000242

Appellant: Mr. Ratan Chandra Das
17, N S Road, (3rd Floor), Kolkata-700001, W.B.
(9433589990)

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Jt. Director RTI Cell, Rly Board, Rail Bhawan, New
Delhi.

Date of Hearing: 15.11.2016

Dated of Decision: 15.11.2016

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 12.09.2014 seeking information on 11 points in respect of show cause letter dated 18.02.2014 issued to the appellant and Shri Biswajit Saha by the Rly administration such as copy of office bearers list for the year 2013-14 where Ratan Chandra Das's name is available, what type of anti association activities have been detected by the Railway Board or E. Rly administration etc.

2. The CPIO responded on 13.10.2014. The appellant filed first appeal on 05.11.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA response is not on record. The appellant filed appeal on 23.01.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing.

4. The appellant stated that he was seeking some documents but no information has been provided to him. The appellant stated that he want to know on what basis the ED (Reservation) Railway Board had written letter

dated 18.02.2014. The appellant stated that he was seeking copy of office bearers list for the year 2013-2014 where his name is mentioned.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant vide letter dated 13.10.2014 has been informed that the information asked for is in the nature of grievances/querries /clarifications which is not covered under RTI Act and the same cannot be furnished. The respondent stated that in response to appellant's appeal a copy of DOP&T's O.M. No. 1/18/2011 IR dated 16.09.2011 clarifying clause (f) and (j) of section 2 of RTI Act has been provided to him. The respondent stated that the information asked for is not specific and appellant is asking answer to his hypothetical questions..

6. The respondent stated that the list of the office bearers of the association of Division and Zonal level is not available with them. The respondent stated that they have a list of office bearers of executive body of the associations.

Discussion/ observation:

7. It is seen that all the information sought is in the nature of questions hence, the action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

8. No action is required in the matter at the level of this Commission.

The appeal is disposed of. A copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar