

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
Room No.414, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
New Delhi-110067

F. No.**CIC/SA/C/2016/000232-YA**

Date of Hearing : **21.12.2017**
Date of Decision : **21.12.2017**
Appellant/Complainant : Shri Shiv Ram Sharma
Respondent : PIO
Public Works Department
(Government of NCT of Delhi)
Through: Sh. Manish Kaushik - EE
Information Commissioner : Shri Yashovardhan Azad

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on : 01.04.2016
PIO replied on : - - -
First Appeal filed on : - - -
First Appellate Order on : - - -
2nd Appeal/complaint received on : 06.06.2016

Information sought and background of the case:

Vide RTI application dated 01.04.2016, the complainant sought information regarding construction of the footpath between Peeragarhi to Meera Bagh and Peeragarhi to Mundaka and specifically sought information for the period between March, 2015 to March 2016. The precise queries of the applicant are as follows:-

1. *When was the tender issued for the construction of the footpath at both above mentioned roads and the details of the construction to be done.*
2. *Estimated cost of the tender and estimated duration of the construction to get completed. Actual cost paid and time taken in the completion of the construction. If any delay occurred reason for the same.*
3. *Any specification of material which are to be used like cement, tiles, etc in the construction of the footpath.*
4. *Furnish clearance certificate to the construction of the said footpaths.*
5. *Furnish any other connected information required which the department deems fit.”*

The CPIO/RTI, Transport Department transferred the RTI application to PIO/PWD vide letter dated 08.04.2016 and same was transferred by the PIO/AHC(PWD) to Dy. Director (M)/PIO, PWD. Further, the Dy. Director (M) transferred the RTI application to Executive Engineer/PIO vide letter dated 28.04.2016. Having not received any information from CPIOs, the complainant approached the Commission.

Relevant facts emerging during hearing:

The appellant has not appeared while the Respondent is present and states that information had been provided by his predecessor vide letter dated 29th June 2016, copy of the same has been placed on record.

Decision:

The Commission notes that information as available has already been provided. The appellant is not present to buttress his case. Therefore, no further cause of action remains to be adjudicated.

The appeal is thus disposed of.

(Yashovardhan Azad)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(R.P.Grover)
Designated Officer