

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26186535**

**Appeal No. CIC/RK/A/2016/000228**

Appellant: Mr. Pratap Singh,

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer/Addl. Registrar  
Supreme Court of India, Tilak Marg,  
New Delhi-110001 (Through:  
Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Advocate)

Date of Hearing: 27.02.2017

Dated of Decision: 27.02.2017

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 16.04.2015 seeking information regarding: why his letter petition dated 19.05.2014 has not been replied; why a detailed verdict with findings and reasons has not been provided to him in SLP (Crl.) No. 4257 of 2002; why the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has not examined the crucial additional evidence dated 13.05.1998 from the trial court at Shimla, etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 16.05.2015. The appellant filed First Appeal dated 02.06.2015 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 06.07.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 18.01.2016 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant participated in the hearing through VC. The respondent was personally present in the hearing and represented through counsel.
4. The appellant stated that he did not receive the notice of hearing for the above case and he was not prepared to contest this case.

5. From the perusal of the Commission's records and postal records, it is seen that the notice of hearing was duly delivered to the appellant.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. In view of the statement made by the appellant above that he is not prepared to contest his case, the Commission affords one more opportunity to the appellant to present his case. The matter is adjourned.

**Decision:**

7. The Deputy Registrar is directed to fix a hearing after 15 days. Fresh notice of hearing be issued to both the parties.

Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Appeal No. CIC/RK/A/2016/000228**

**Adjunct Order dated 11.10.2017**

**Facts:**

1. The instant matter was earlier heard on 27.02.2017. However, the matter was adjourned in view of the statement made by the appellant that he could not prepare on that date to contest his case.

**Hearing:**

2. The respondent participated in the hearing in person. The appellant did not participate in the hearing.

3. The respondent stated that the reply had been given to the appellant vide their letter dated 16.05.2015. The respondent stated that the status of appellant's SLP (Crl.) no. 4257/2002 including name of the Amicus Curiae has been informed to him. The respondent stated that the status of the appellant's letters/application has been communicated by the Registry vide letter dated 26.09.2002. Further, the respondent stated that rest of the information sought for by the appellant is not covered under section 2(f) of the RTI Act as it is beyond the jurisdiction and scope of the duties of the CPIO to interpret the law, judgment/orders of the Hon'ble Court.

**Discussion/ observation:**

4. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application are satisfactory.

**Decision:**

5. No intervention is required in the matter at the level of this Commission.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar