

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000226

Dated 28.10.2016

Appellant : Shri Deepak Kumar Makwana,
28/1, Teliwara, Shahdara,
Delhi-110 032.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the DCP,
East District, Mandawali, Fazalpur,
Delhi.

Date of Hearing : 28.10.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 22.05.2015

CPIO's reply : 24.06.2015

First appeal filed on : 30.06.2015

FAA's order : 20.07.2015

Second appeal filed on : 19.08.2015

ORDER

1. Shri Deepak Kumar Makwana filed an application dated 22.05.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), East District, Delhi Police seeking information/documents pertaining to action taken on his complaint dated 30.04.2015.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 19.08.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO has not provided the enclosures and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) had incorrectly upheld the reply of the CPIO.

Hearing:

3. Shri B.E. Parchha, representative of the appellant and the respondent Shri Omender, Sub Inspector, Outer District, Delhi Police were present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that he had sought the action taken on his complaint dated 30.04.2015. The appellant further submitted that though a copy of the enquiry report has been provided to him, he is not satisfied with the action taken on his complaint. The appellant also stated that he had sought for attested copies, however, the same have not been provided to him.

5. The respondent submitted that the appellant was informed about the action taken on his complaint dated 30.04.2015. The respondent further submitted that a copy of the enquiry report was also provided to the appellant vide letter dated 24.06.2015. Hence, information sought has been provided to the appellant. The respondent also stated that the appellant seeks a particular action to be taken. However, the same is beyond the purview of the RTI Act.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, observes that information sought has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. The Commission, also agrees with the respondent that the appellant seeks a particular action to be taken, which is beyond the scope of RTI Act. Nonetheless, since the appellant had requested for certified copy of the enquiry report, the Commission directs the respondent to

provide copy of the enquiry report duly certified to the appellant, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this decision.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer