

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/CC/C/2015/000216/KY

Complainant : Shri Inder Pal Singh
R/o E-208, Venus Apartments
Z-H-4-5, Pitampura, Opp. SainikVihar
Delhi-110034

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o Textiles, National Textiles Corporation Limited
Scope Complex, Core-IV, 7 Lodhi Road
New Delhi-110003

Date of Hearing : 15.09.2016
Date of Decision : 15.09.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Shri Inder Pal Singh
CPIO : Shri Vinay Kumar, Asst. manager & APIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **30.09.2015**, the applicant sought information on **4 issues**.
- II. CPIO, response is not on record.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) is not on record.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **21.01.2015**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

At the outset, it is stated here that as per the contents of the prayer clause as embedded in the instant petition, **it is a case of second appeal**. However, the Central Registry registered it **wrongly as a complaint for the reasons best known to them**. Thus, it is being dealt with as **second appeal** and not as complaint.

2. It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **30.09.2015**, sought information from the respondents on **4 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **08.03.2016**, allegedly provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved

by the aforesaid response, Second appeal was filed by the appellant on **21.01.2015** before this Commission.

3. During hearing of the appeal, it is submitted by Shri Inder Pal Singh, Appellant, that he has received the **complete required information** on his RTI application dated 30.09.2015 by the respondents and **he is fully satisfied**.
4. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **30.09.2015**, respondent's response dated **08.03.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
5. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
M/o Textiles, National Textiles Corporation Limited
Scope Complex, Core-IV, 7 Lodhi Road
New Delhi-110003

Shri Inder Pal Singh
R/o E-208, Venus Apartments
Z-H-4-5, Pitampura, Opp. Sainik Vihar
Delhi-110034