

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/000215

Appellant: Sh. Guddu Kumar,
AT & PO-Ibramhimpur Berua,
Sharfuddinpur, Muzaffarpur,
Bihar

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer,
Asstt. Dir. Genl. (Vig. II)
Deptt. of Posts, Dak Bhawan,
Sansad Marg, New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing: 16.05.2017

Dated of Decision: 16.05.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 09.09.2015 seeking information as to whether charge sheet under Rule 9 of CCS Pension Rule 1972 has been issued to Sri Verma; whether any action has been taken or it is contemplated against higher officer who intentionally helped Sri Verma to retire safely on 28.02.2013, etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 19.11.2015. The appellant filed first appeal dated 23.10.2015 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 16.11.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 02.01.2016 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant through representative Shri Satish Kumar Singh participated in the hearing through VC. The respondent participated in the hearing personally.

4. The appellant's representative stated that he has been authorized by the appellant to participate in the hearing. He said he has not been given papers of the case by the appellant. Hence, he has nothing to say in the matter.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant has been replied to vide letter dated 19.11.2015 and 16.11.2015. The respondent stated that the investigation is still in progress and charge sheet is yet to issue in the matter. The respondent stated that the appellant is seeking information of the third party. Hence, the sought for information cannot be provided under section 8(1) (j) and (h) of the RTI Act.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The Commission observed that investigation in the matter is still in progress. Hence, the sought for information cannot be provided. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

7. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar