

## **CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000175/SB**

**Dated 10.10.2016**

**Appellant** : Shri Anuj Kapoor,  
56, Todar Mal Road,  
New Delhi-110 001.

**Respondent** : The Central Public Information Officer,  
Delhi Police, O/o the DCP,  
Special Cell,  
Lodhi Colony  
New Delhi-110 003.

**Date of Hearing** : 10.10.2016

### **Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 07.01.2015

CPIO's reply : 12.02.2015

First appeal filed on : 13.03. 2015

FAA's order : 13.04.2015

Second appeal filed on : 10.07.2015

### **ORDER**

1. Shri Anuj Kapoor filed an application dated 07.01.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Special Cell, Delhi Police seeking information on six points including (1) year-wise list of FIRs registered by P.S. Special Cell from 1994-2014 – certified copies of all such FIRs, (2) cases in which charge sheet was filed and cases in which closure/untraced report was filed and (3) in cases where closure/untraced report was filed, provide full particulars,

i.e., date of final order and name of court, including the name of the Magistrate in each case.

**2.** The appellant filed a second appeal before the Commission on 10.07.2015 on the grounds that the CPIO denied the information pertaining to point nos. 1-4 under Section 7(9) of the RTI Act, contending that there was no larger public interest involved and that upon prior intimation and confirmation from the SHO, he could visit the Special Cell, Lodhi Colony for scrutinizing the record and making certified copies and that the First Appellate Authority (FAA), without any application of mind, accepted the PIO's reply. The appellant requested the Commission to direct the PIO to provide the information sought by him and impose appropriate penalty on the PIO for wrongful suppression of information.

**Hearing:**

**3.** Both the appellant Shri Anuj Kapoor and the respondent were not present despite notice.

**Decision:**

**4.** The Commission, after perusing the records, observes that the appellant has, inter-alia sought certified copies of all FIRs registered by PS Special Cell from 1994 to 2014. The respondent while providing information on point nos. 5 and 6 of the RTI application had informed the appellant that the information sought in point nos. 1 to 4 of the RTI application cannot be provided as compiling the same will disproportionately divert the resources of the Public Authority. However, the respondent had afforded an inspection of the relevant records to the appellant. The Commission accepts the contention of the respondent and hence, finds no grounds to intervene in the matter.

**5.** With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

6. Copy of decision be given free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**