

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Club building, Opposite Ber Sarai Market, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi- 110067.**

**Tel: 011 - 26182593/26182594**

**Email: registrycab@gmail.com**

**File No : CIC/CC/C/2014/000174**

**In the matter of:**

Sh. Rajiv Yadav IDSE  
Director (Pers & Legal) HQ Chief Eng.  
(Air Force) Zone, C/o Wing Air force,  
Pin-936839, C/o 56 APO

...Complainant

Vs.

Central Public information Officer  
M/o Defence  
Director RTI (Cell) Military Engineer  
Services, Engineer-in-Chief's Branch,  
IHQ of MoD (Army), Kashmir House,  
New Delhi-110011

..Respondent

**Dates**

RTI application	:	19.09.2014
CPIO reply	:	27.08.2014 (transfer)
First Appeal	:	Not on record
FAA Order	:	Not on record
Complaint	:	18.09.2014
Date of hearing	:	12.09.2016

**Information sought:**

The information sought was not available in the case record.

**Grounds for Complaint**

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

## **Order**

**Complainant** : **Absent**  
**Respondent** : **Nodal CPIO, Shri Rajesh Kumar, Director.**

During the hearing, the present CPIO RTI Cell , Office of the Director General, Engineers'-in- Chief Branch, IHQ submitted a copy of the reply given to the complainant as available on record vide the then CPIO's letter dated 16.10.2014 in connection with the information sought vide the appellant's RTI application dated 19.09.14.

The complainant was not present to challenge the contention of the respondent PIO.

On perusal of the case record, it is seen that basic information had been provided along with details of other personnel working in the RTI cell. However, the CPIO was not sure whether such information had been uploaded on the website of the public authority concerned in a suo moto manner u/s 4 of the RTI Act.

In view of the fact that the reply available on record was provided to the complainant in time and in accordance with his RTI application and further as the complaint was filed prematurely without exhausting the limitation for CPIO's reply, the same can not be accepted by the Commission.

Therefore, the Commission finds no ground to initiate action u/s 18 of the RTI Act.

***The complaint is dismissed accordingly.***

**[Amitava Bhattacharyya]**  
**Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(A.K.Talapatra)  
Dy. Registrar

