

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/C/2015/000162

Dated 10.11.2016

Complainant : Shri Pawan Kumar Kohli,
S/o Shri R.K. Kohli,
D-174,
West Patel Nagar,
New Delhi-110008.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police O/o the PIO,
Central District,
Daryaganj,
Delhi-110002.

Date of Hearing : 10.11.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the complaint:

RTI application filed on : 27.02.2015

CPIO's reply : 27.03.2016

Complaint filed on : 23.11.2015

ORDER

1. Shri Pawan Kumar Kohli filed an application dated 04.05.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Central District, Delhi Police seeking information on sixteen points, including (1) name of the officer who received MLC No. E/12916/15 dated 20.01.2015 in respect of Shri Pawan Kumar Kohli from RML Hospital and also certified copies of Ravanagi and Wapsi of the officer to and fro Hospital and (2) action

taken by the I.O. on the said MLC – was any Nakal Report written in pursuance of the said MLC and copy supplied to the victim.

2. Shri Pawan Kumar Kohli filed a complaint dated 23.11.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO denied information on point Nos. 1, 2, 5 and 9-16 under Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act stating that the investigation of the case was pending. The complainant requested the Commission to initiate appropriate legal action against Shri Jitender Kumar Meena, ACP(Patel Nagar under Section 20(1) & (2) of the RTI Act for his misdemeanor in the interest of justice.

Hearing:

3. The complainant Shri Pawan Kumar Kohli and the respondent Shri Ashok Kumar Tyagi, ACP, Central District were present in person.

4. The complainant submitted that complete and correct point wise information of his RTI application was not provided to him vide letter 29.03.2015 on the grounds that the matter is pending investigation under Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act. However, the investigation in the matter was completed on 3rd March 2015, as the chargesheet was signed by the competent authority on 03.04.2015. The complainant further submitted that the FAA had vide order dated 11.06.2015 directed the PIO to provide a fresh reply on point nos.1, 2, 5 & 9 to 16 of RTI application to him. The complainant stated that the information provided in compliance with the order of FAA was also not complete in respect of point nos.6 12 and 13 of the RTI application. The complainant further submitted that in point no.6, he has sought the grounds of his arrest on 19.01.2015, but he has been provided only a copy of the DD entry. The complainant also submitted that information was not provided on point nos. 12 and 13 of the RTI application.

5. The respondent submitted that in compliance of the FAA order dated 11.06.2015, point wise information was provided to the complainant. The

respondent further submitted that point wise information as per record available was provided to the complainant. The respondent, however, admitted that due to oversight complete information on point no.12 could not be provided to the complainant.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing both the parties and perusing the records, directs the respondent to provide correct and complete information on point nos.6 and 12 of the RTI application to the complainant within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above observations, the complaint is disposed of.

8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer