

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000160**

**Dated 14.10.2016**

**Appellant** : Shri Suresh Shah,  
C/o Shri Mahesh Gupta,  
B/111 & 112, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor,  
Malaviya Nagar,  
New Delhi-110017.

**Respondent** : The Central Public Information Officer,  
O/o Assistant Commissioner,  
Central Excise, Division-II,  
NOIDA-I.

**Date of Hearing** : 14.10.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 20.10.2014

CPIO's reply : 25.11.2014

FAA's Order : 29.04.2015

Second appeal filed on : 23.07.2015

**ORDER**

1. Shri Suresh Shah filed an application dated 20.10.2014 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Customs, Central Excise & Service Tax, Noida seeking information on nine points pertaining to de-bonding of his premises bearing Plot No. A-154-B, Sector-63, Noida, which was leased to M/s TCS, who had got the premises bonded under the provisions of the Customs Act.

2. Shri Suresh Shah filed an appeal dated 23.07.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO, who had earlier denied the information, provided incomplete, misleading and false information when directed by the First Appellate Authority. The appellant requested the Commission to conduct an inquiry including inspection of all the records and take action to impose penalty/disciplinary action on/against the PIO and direct the CPIO to provide the information sought by him.

**Hearing:**

3. Both the appellant Shri Suresh Shah and the respondent were not present despite notice.

**Decision:**

4. The Commission took a serious view of the absence of the CPIO despite notice. The Commission directs the CPIO to submit a written statement, explaining his absence, along with the comments of First Appellate Authority, before 08.11.2016.

5. The Commission, after perusing the records, observes that a similar matter has been heard and disposed of by the Commission vide Decision No. CIC/KY/A/2015/00540/SB dated 23.06.2016. Hence, no further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided to both the parties free of cost.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**