

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

File No. CIC/VS/C/2014/000154

Complainant: Mr. Pawan Kumar
C/o Pankaj Kumar Team Commander
(MAC/ Security), Room No. 121 NSG Headquater
Mehram Nagar Palam, New Delhi- 37.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Under Secy. Admin Divin.
M/o External Affairs, South Block
New Delhi.

Date of Hearing: 16.02.2017

Dated of Decision: 16.02.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. RTI application is not on record. It is seen from the reply of the CPIO dated 14.11.2013 that the Complainant filed RTI application dated 17.10.2013, seeking information regarding cadre allocation (IFS cadre) to visually impaired candidates against backlog of vacancies upto the year 2010 and related matters.
2. The CPIO responded on 14.11.2013. The Complainant filed first appeal on 13.02.2014. The response of FAA is not on record. The complainant filed Complaint on 05.02.2014 before the Commission on the ground that penalty should be imposed on respondent for denying the information and giving incorrect information.

Hearing:

3. Complainant was personally present in the hearing. Respondent was personally present with their represented counsel in the hearing.
4. The Complainant referred to his RTI application dated 17.10.2013 stated that he had received reply from the CPIO on 14.11.2013 but information was not satisfactory. He filed First Appeal on 13.12.2013 but till date First appeal had not been disposed of.
5. Respondent stated that they have provided the information to the appellant vide CPIO reply dated 14.11.2013. They reiterated that they have provided correct information to the appellant.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The Commission observed that information has been provided by the respondent to the complainant. The supporting documents of the ministry were produced to show that correct information had been provided.
7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

8. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter. The Compliant is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar