

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/C/2015/00145

Dated 02.12.2016

Complainant : Mohd Alam Ansari,
Vill Ranipur, PO Bandanbar,
PS Pathargama, Distt. Godda,
Jharkhand.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the DCP, Cyber Crime,
EOW, PS Mandir Marg Complex,
New Delhi-110 001.

Date of Hearing : 02.12.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the complaint:

RTI application filed on : 25.06.2015

First appeal filed on : 18.08.2015

Complaint filed on : 31.10.2015

ORDER

1. Mohd Alam Ansari filed an application dated 25.06.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Economic Offences Wing (EOW), Delhi Police seeking information on three points pertaining to action taken on his complaint dated 19.05.2015.

2. The complainant filed a complaint dated 31.10.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that he has not been provided any information by the CPIO as well as the First Appellate Authority (FAA), EOW.

Hearing:

3. The complainant Mohd Alam Ansari could not be contacted due to some technical problem at NIC, Godda. The respondent Shri Vikram Singh Rana, ACP, EOW, Delhi Police was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that since the complaint of the complainant dated 19.05.2015 relates to State of Bihar, therefore, the same was transferred to SSP, Poornia, Bihar on 04.06.2015. The respondent further submitted that since the complaint was transferred to the State of Bihar, therefore, the RTI application as well as the first appeal was also transferred under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act to the SSP, Poornia, Bihar vide letters dated 02.07.2015 and 31.08.2015 respectively.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of the respondent and perusing the records, observes that the information sought pertains to the State Government of Bihar to which the RTI application as well as the first appeal of the complainant has already been transferred by the respondent. Therefore, the present matter is beyond the jurisdiction of the Commission. Hence, no intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the complaint is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer