

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26717355

Appeal No. CIC/RK/A/2016/001244

Appellant: Shri Lokesh Kumar
HNo. 605, Maliwara, Ghaziabad-201001, UP

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
CPIO, Addl. Registrar Supreme Court of India, Tilak
Marg, New Delhi-110001.

Date of Hearing: 29.11.2016
Dated of Decision: 29.11.2016

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 07.09.2015 seeking information on 38 points including who is the supreme authority of the Judiciary, Who is accountable for incidents of theft, rape dacoit, burglary, accidents? etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 03.10.2015. The appellant filed first appeal on 02.11.2015 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 18.12.2015. The appellant filed appeal on 09.03.2016 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The respondent participated in the hearing. The appellant did not participate in the hearing.
4. The respondent stated that the appellant vide letter dated 03.10.2015 was informed that it is beyond the jurisdiction and scope of the duties of the CPIO under the RTI Act to give explanation, opine, comment or advise on matters and also to interpret the law, judgment/orders of the Hon'ble Court and requested information is not covered under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act.

5. The respondent stated that requested information except point no. 19 are not covered under section 2(f) of the RTI Act. The respondent stated that in respect of query no. 19, the appellant was informed that orders and directions passed by the Hon'ble Court in all the matters are communicated to all concerned for compliance thereof. If there is non-compliance of order and directions given by the Hon'ble Court, parties to the case are at liberty to file appropriate petition seeking directions from the Hon'ble Court.

6. The respondent stated that the first appellate authority vide its order dated 18.12.2015 had disposed of the first appeal having concurred with the findings of the CPIO.

Discussion/ observation:

7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

8. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar