

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000120**

**Dated 22.11.2016**

**Appellant** : ShriSunil Kumar Chourasia,  
Sidhbaba Road, Chetna Nagar,  
Distt. Anuppur-484224,  
Madhya Pradesh.

**Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,  
Central Vigilance Commission,  
Satarkta Bhawan,  
GPO Complex, Block A, INA,  
New Delhi-110 023.

**Date of Hearing** : 22.11.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 21.11.2014

CPIO's reply : 23.12.2014

First appeal filed on : 21.01.2015

FAA's order : 23.02.2015

Second appeal filed on : 08.06.2015

**ORDER**

1. ShriSunil Kumar Chourasia filed an application dated 21.11.2014 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Central Vigilance Commission (CVC) seeking information regarding reasons for not taking action on his complaint dated

04.06.2014 along with copies of the note sheets of the file wherein, his complaint has been dealt with.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 08.06.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO has provided him incorrect and misleading information and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) too had not provided complete and correct information.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant Shri Sunil Kumar Chourasia attended the hearing through audio conferencing. The respondent Shri Sanjay Aggarwal, Director, CVC was present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that a legible copy of the note sheet has not been provided to him, although, the FAA in his order dated 23.02.2015 stated that a legible copy of the note sheet was already provided on 09.02.2015 but the same has not been received by him.

5. The respondent submitted that the appellant was provided copy of the relevant note sheet as sought by him in his RTI application vide letter dated 23.12.2014. The respondent further submitted that, thereafter, the appellant informed the respondent that the copy of the note sheet provided is not legible and, therefore, a legible copy of the note sheet was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 09.02.2015.

**Decision:**

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, observes that the information sought has been provided to the appellant by the respondent and there are no grounds to interfere with the order of the FAA dated 23.02.2015. Nonetheless, since as per the appellant,

---

a legible copy of the note sheet has not been received by him, the Commission, directs the respondent to resend a legible copy of the note sheet to the appellant, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**