

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26717355

Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/000117

Appellant: Bhopal Singh
C-4/154, Yamuna Vihar, Delhi -110053
(9582131800) Delhi-110053

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Sr. DMM Northern Rly, RTI Cell, DRM Office, New
Delhi.

Date of Hearing: 07.12.2016
Dated of Decision: 07.12.2016

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 04.08.2014 seeking information regarding liberalized Active Retirement Scheme for Guaranteed Employment for safety Staff including minutes of PNM meeting held on 13.01.2012, list of employees along with their wards who applied under this scheme etc.
2. The CPIO response is not on record. The appellant filed first appeal on 09.09.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 30.09.2014. The appellant filed appeal on 07.01.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing.
4. The appellant referred to his RTI application and stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him. The appellant stated that he has not received any letter/reply from the respondent. No notice for hearing of first appeal was received by him, yet the first appellate authority has recorded that the appellant was absent.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant has been replied to vide letter dated 07.10.2014, 18.11.2014, 10.12.2014, 19.11.2014. The respondent stated that the copies of sought for circulars have been provided again to the appellant. The respondent stated the minutes of PNM meeting dated 13.01.2012 is not available as concerned section has informed that it was old record and was weeded out. The respondent stated that the appellant had not explained in his RTI application the specific list of successful persons that he is seeking. The respondent stated that two cycles of such selection are conducted for selection under this scheme and they have the list of all cycles. The respondent stated that if the appellant identified the cycle, they will be able to provide the information.

6. The appellant stated that he wanted a list of candidates immediately after the PNM meeting held on 13.01.2012.

7. The respondent stated that they can provide 4 lists of recruited and rejected candidates under this scheme for the years 2012 and 2013. They requested the appellant to identify the specific discipline for which the list is sought.

Discussion/ observation:

8. A set of replies along with circulars sought for, has been given to the appellant during the hearing.

Decision:

9. The respondent is directed to provide information as stated in para 7 above within 30 days of this order.

10. The respondent is directed to inquire into the matter as to why their letters/replies as stated above have not reached to the appellant and report to the Commission within 15 days.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar