

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000105

Dated 18.10.2016

Appellant : Shri Vishveshwar Nadola,
Maali Thaliyo ka Baas,
Behind Hotel City Palace,
Nai Sadak, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Ministry of Minority Affairs,
Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

Date of Hearing : 18.10.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 13.04.2015
CPIO's reply : 20.04.2015/Nil
First appeal filed on : 19.05.2015
Second appeal filed on : 26.06.2015

ORDER

1. Shri Vishveshwar Nadola filed an application dated 02.03.2013 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Prime Minister's Office (PMO) seeking information on eight points pertaining to the membership and grant-in-aid to Marwad Muslim Educational and Welfare Society. The CPIO, PMO transferred the RTI

application vide their letter dated 20.04.2015 to Ministry of Minority Affairs (MMA).

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 26.06.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that his RTI application has been shuttling from one Ministry to another Ministry and he has not received any information.

Hearing:

3. Both the appellant Shri Vishveshwar Nadola and the respondent were not present despite notice.

Decision:

4. The Commission, after perusing the records, observes that the appellant through his RTI application had sought information from the PMO, however, the information sought pertains to multiple Public Authorities and, therefore, the RTI application was transferred under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act to the respondent vide letter dated 20.04.2015. The Commission further observes that as per the records in the relevant file, it appears that the information on point nos. 3 and 4 of the RTI application, which relates to the respondent office has not been provided to the appellant and due to the absence of the parties during the hearing, it cannot be ascertained as to whether the information on the said points has been provided to the appellant or not. In view of this, the Commission directs the respondent to provide information on point nos.3 and 4 of the RTI application, if the same has not been provided to the appellant within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this decision.

5. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

6. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer