

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000094

Dated 23.09.2016

Appellant : Shri Rajinder Saxena,
153, Gali Batashan, Chawri Bazar,
Delhi-110 006.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Traffic Police, O/o the PIO,
Traffic HQ. Delhi, Dev. Prakash Shastri
Marg, New Delhi-110 002.

Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Traffic Police, O/o the DCP(Traffic),
Central Region, Bangla Saheb Lane, New
Delhi-110 001.

Date of Hearing : 23.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 14.03.2015

CPIO's reply : 15.05.2015/21.05.2015

First appeal filed on : 26.05.2015

FAA's order : 23.06.2015

Second appeal filed on : 26.06.2015

ORDER

1. Shri Rajinder Saxena filed an application dated 14.03.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information

Officer (CPIO), O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Daryaganj, New Delhi – 2 seeking information on five points regarding violation of rules and regulations pertaining to parking near Ramlila Ground.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 26.06.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that no information has been provided to him by the CPIO and the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The appellant further requested that penalty of Rs.250/- per day be imposed against the CPIO who did not respond the RTI application.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Rajinder Sexena was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri S.S. Yadav, Inspector, Delhi Police was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that point wise information as sought has been provided to the appellant vide letters dated 15.05.2015 and 21.05.2015. The respondent further submitted that there are no parking restrictions inside the premises of the Ramlila ground and Delhi Traffic Police instructions/guidelines are only in respect of parking on the roads.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the respondent and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer