

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000092

Dated 23.09.2016

Appellant : ShriGurnam Singh,
H. No. 498, Street No.2,
Near Post Office, Santosh Colony,
Jaitpur Village,
New Delhi-110044.

Respondent : The Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the DCP,
Police Control Room, PCR,
Model Town-II,
Delhi-110009.

The Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the DCP,
South East District, Sarita Vihar,
Delhi-110 076.

Date of Hearing : 23.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 17.03.2015

CPIO's reply : 23.04.2015

First appeal filed on : 08.05.2015

FAA's order : 17.06.2015

Second appeal filed on : 02.07.2015

ORDER

1. ShriGurnam Singh filed an application dated 17.03.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Delhi Police Headquarter seeking information on four points pertaining to his complaint dated 29.01.2015 against Shri Nirmal Singh and some others sent to DCP, Sarita Vihar and SHO, P.S. Jaitpur and six calls (complaints) made on Police Control Room (PCR) through Mobile Nos. 9958235615 & 7838688723 on 17.01.2015, including (i) action taken on his complaint dated 29.01.2015 and day to day progress of his case and (2) all call details along with mobile Nos, durations, recordings, D.D Nos, time of complaint made to PCR from 2.30 PM to 4.30 PM on 17.01.2015 in connection to his complaint at P.S. Jaitpur.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 15.06.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the Investigating Officer, CPIO and FAA are favouring the accused persons for reasons best known to them and not filing the charge sheet and are withholding the information and also not disclosing the progress in the case. The appellant requested the Commission to provide the information sought along with all documents related to his case free of cost as per RTI rules and that penalty be imposed under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act against the concerned Delhi Police officials for not supplying the information.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Gurnam Singh and the respondents Shri Sanjay Tomar, ACP, PCR, Delhi Police and Shri B.S. Khatana, ACP, South-East District, Delhi Police were present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that the CPIO, PCR, Delhi Police has not provided inspection of the relevant file as sought by him in point no. 4 of the

RTI application. The appellant further submitted that no reply in response to his RTI application was provided by the CPIO, South-East District, Delhi Police.

5. The respondent (PCR) submitted that point wise information related to the PCR was provided to the appellant. The respondent further submitted that since certain queries in the RTI application fell within the jurisdiction of South-East District, therefore, the RTI application was transferred under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act to the CPIO, South-East District vide letter dated 23.03.2015.

6. The respondent (South-East District) submitted that point wise information as available was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 23.04.2015 via speed post. However, if the appellant has not received the same, the same would be provided to him again.

Decision:

7. The Commission, after hearing both the parties and perusing the records, observes that the CPIO, South-East District has provided the due information. However, since the appellant claims to have not received the said reply, a copy of the reply dated 23.04.2015 was provided to the appellant during the hearing. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

8. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

9. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer