

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

Files No. **CIC/SH/C/2015/000085/KY**

CIC/SM/C/2014/000594/KY

Complainant : Shri Vikas Mohan Tyagi
H- 307, Vikas Puri
New Delhi- 110018

Public Authority : The CPIO
Badminton Association of India
383-384, Double Storey, New Rajinder Nagar,
New Delhi-110060

The CPIO
M/o. Skill Development, Entrepreneurship and Youth Affairs
& Sports, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing : 23.08.2016

Date of Decision : 23.08.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri A K Patro, Under Secretary

FACTS of File no. CIC/SH/C/2015/000085/KY:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **27.08.2014**, the appellant sought information on **4 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **04.09.2014 & 18.12.2014**, reportedly not provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **08.10.2014**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **27.12.2014**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

FACTS of File No. CIC/SM/C/2014/000594/KY:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **24.07.2014**, the appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, vide his response dated **17.12.2014**, provided the required information to the appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **08.09.2014**, as desired information not provided.

- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **21.11.2014**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the complainant, vide his RTI Applications dated **27.08.2014 & 24.07.2014**, sought information from the respondents on the issues as contained therein. Respondents vide their responses dated **04.09.2014, 18.12.2014 & 17.12.2014**, provided the required information to the complainant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, complainant has filed his complaints dated **27.12.2014 & 21.11.2014** before the Commission.

2. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his cases. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaints before the Commission, after all, it is his cases to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own cases before the Commission.
3. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the cases. Therefore, these are hereby **dismissed**.

The complaints are dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

Badminton Association of India

383-384, Double Storey, New Rajinder Nagar,

New Delhi-110060

The CPIO

M/o. Skill Development, Entrepreneurship and Youth Affairs
& Sports, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

Shri Vikas Mohan Tyagi

H- 307, Vikas Puri

New Delhi- 110018

