

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000084**

**Dated 23.09.2016**

**Appellant** : Shri Farooq,  
G-5, Amber Tower, Behind Aakash Cinema,  
Azadpur, Delhi-110 033.

**Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,  
Delhi Traffic Police, O/o the PIO,  
Traffic HQ. Delhi, Dev. Prakash Shastri  
Marg, New Delhi-110 012.

Central Public Information Officer,  
Delhi Traffic Police, O/o the DCP(Traffic),  
Northern Renge, Tara Chand Mathur Marg,  
Civil Lines, New Delhi-110 054.

**Date of Hearing** : 23.09.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 12.02.2015  
CPIO's reply : 25.03.2015/04.04.2015  
First appeal filed on : 16.04.2015  
FAA's order : 07.05.2015  
Second appeal filed on : 01.07.2015

## **ORDER**

**1.** Shri Farooq filed an application dated 12.02.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Transport Department, Government of NCT, Delhi seeking information on seven points pertaining to illegal use of EECO & Maruti Vans for carrying the passengers.

**2.** The appellant filed a second appeal dated 01.07.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that he has been provided incorrect and misleading information and he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the CPIO and the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The appellant further requested that action should be initiated against the CPIO and the FAA.

### **Hearing:**

**3.** The appellant Shri Farooq was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri. S.S. Yadav, Inspector, Delhi Traffic Police was present in person.

**4.** The respondent submitted that the RTI application of the appellant was transferred by the Transport Department to the Delhi Traffic Police Head Quarters and the same was received in the department on 04.03.2015 and a reply was accordingly sent to the appellant vide letter dated 25.03.2015. The respondent further submitted that the RTI application was further transferred to the CPIO, Delhi Traffic Police, Northern Range vide letter dated 05.03.2015 and accordingly a reply was also furnished to the appellant by the CPIO, Northern Range vide letter dated 04.04.2015.

**Decision:**

5. The Commission, after hearing respondent and perusing the records, observes that point wise information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.
6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**