

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000076**

**Dated 23.09.2016**

**Appellant** : Shri Farooq,  
G-5, Amber Tower, Behind Aakash Cinema,  
Azadpur, Delhi-110 033.

**Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,  
Delhi Traffic Police, O/o the PIO,  
Traffic HQ. Delhi, Dev. Prakash Shastri  
Marg, New Delhi-110 002.

Central Public Information Officer,  
Delhi Traffic Police, O/o the DCP(Traffic),  
Northern Renge, Tara Chand Mathur Marg,  
Civil Lines, New Delhi-110 054.

**Date of Hearing** : 23.09.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 16.03.2015

CPIO's reply : 24.04.2015

First appeal filed on : 21.04.2015

FAA's order : 14.05.2015

Second appeal filed on : 01.07.2015

## **ORDER**

**1.** Shri Farooq filed an application dated 16.03.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Delhi Police (Traffic), Police Headquarter ITO, New Delhi seeking information on three points regarding action taken on his two complaints dated 11.02.2015 and 23.02.2015 pertaining to illegal use of EECO & Maruti Vans for transporting the passengers.

**2.** The appellant filed a second appeal dated 01.07.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO has provided him incorrect information and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) had responded that the information has already been provided to him.

### **Hearing:**

**3.** The appellant Shri Farooq was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri S.S. Yadav, Inspector, Delhi Traffic Police was present in person.

**4.** The respondent submitted that point wise information was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 10.04.2015. However, the appellant not being satisfied with the information provided, filed a first appeal before the FAA, Delhi Traffic Police. The respondent further submitted that the FAA vide order dated 14.05.2015 directed the CPIO to provide information on point nos. 1 and 2 of the RTI applications to the appellant. In compliance with the order of the FAA, information on the said points was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 21.05.2015.

**Decision:**

5. The Commission, after hearing the respondent and perusing the records, observes that point wise information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. However, with regard to the time limit for the disposal of complaints made to the Delhi Traffic Police, as per the respondent there is no such time limit. In view of this, the Commission would like the Commissioner of Delhi Police to review the matter and frame guidelines fixing the time limit within which such complaints are to be disposed of by the Delhi Traffic Police.
6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**