

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/RM/C/2014/000057/KY

Complainant : Smt. Meena Devi  
W/o. Shri Ashok Kumar  
Mahabir Astan, MoldiarTola  
Vill+PO. Mokama, Patna-803302

Public Authority : The CPIO  
M/o Textiles, National Textile Corporation Limited  
Scope Complex, Core-IV, 7 Lodhi Road  
New Delhi-110003

Date of Hearing : 19.09.2016  
Date of Decision : 19.09.2016

## Presence:

Complainant : Absent  
CPIO : Shri Vinay Kumar, Assistant Manager & APIO

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **15.11.2012**, the appellant sought information on **2 issues**.
- II. CPIO, response is not on record.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **29.11.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **31.12.2013**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

## HEARING

**Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to her.** Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

On being queried by the Commission, as to whether respondents have provided the required information to the appellant on her RTI application dated **15.11.2012**. On this very aspect, it is submitted by Shri Vinay Kumar, Assistant Manager & APIO, he miserably failed to respond the query posed by the Commission, however, he kept on arguing illogically on irrelevant issues.

2. In view of the position above, the Commission feels that Shri Vinay Kumar, Assistant Manager & APIO came here **without preparation** in the case. Therefore, the

Commission **warned** him to come before the Commission with full preparation of the case to oppose their cases, on behalf of respondents in future, otherwise Commission would be **left with no option but to ban his appearance** before the Commission.

3. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by her before the Commission to press her case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press her complaint before the Commission, after all, it is her case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that she is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing her own case before the Commission.
4. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-  
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)  
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)  
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO  
M/o Textiles,  
National Textile Corporation Limited  
Scope Complex, Core-IV, 7 Lodhi Road  
New Delhi-110003

Smt. Meena Devi  
W/o. Shri Ashok Kumar  
Mahabir Astan, MoldiarTola  
Vill+PO. Mokama, Patna-803302