

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000047

Dated 21.09.2016

Appellant : Shri Dhananjay Kumar,
Chamber No. 277,
Saket Court Complex,
New Delhi-110017.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Staff Selection Commission,
Block No.12, CGO Complex,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110504.

Date of Hearing : 21.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 07.04.2015

CPIO's reply : No reply

First appeal filed on : 13.05.2015

FAA's Order : 19.05.2015

Second appeal filed on : 19.06.2015

ORDER

1. Shri Dinesh Chandra filed an application dated 23.09.2014 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Staff Selection Commission (SSC) seeking information on nine points pertaining to Shri Hariom Kumar (Roll No. 2201220655) who appeared in the Combined Graduate Level Examination, 2013, including (i) total number of mistakes committed in DEST test by Shri Hariom Kumar, (ii) total number of

words provided for typing in DEST Test and (iii) photo copy of DEST test sheet of Shri Hariom Kumar.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 15.06.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that though the FAA had directed the CPIO & U.S.(EDP) to provide the requisite information in terms of Section 11 (Third Party Information) of the RTI Act, 2005 the CPIO has not yet replied to his RTI application. The appellant requested the Commission to direct the appropriate authorities to supply the information within the period stipulated under the RTI Act.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Dhananjay Kumar was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri R. Thakur, Under Secretary, SSC was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that in compliance with the FAA orders dated 22.05.2015, part information was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 27.05.2015. Further, a copy of the appeal dated 13.05.2015 was sent to the CPIO concerned for providing information on point nos. ii-v of the RTI application. The CPIO concerned had informed the appellant vide letter dated 10.06.2015 that the information sought related to third party and hence the consent of the third party is required to provide information. The respondent further submitted that subsequently the third party (Shri Hariom Kumar) filed an RTI application on the same matter and in response to the RTI application, the information sought in the present RTI application dated 07.04.2015 was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 27.05.2015.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of the respondent and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant. Hence, no intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.
6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer