

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000043

Dated 23.09.2016

Appellant : Shri Rajeev Mohan,
S/o Shri Vijay Kumar,
S-507, School Block,
Shakarpur,
Delhi-110 092.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the DCP cum OUI (Crime),
2nd Floor, Police Station Kamla Market,
Office Complex, Delhi-110 002.

Date of Hearing : 23.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 27.02.2015

CPIO's reply : 08.04.2015

First appeal filed on : 27.04.2015

FAA's order : 28.05.2015

Second appeal filed on : 30.06.2015

ORDER

1. Shri Rajeev Mohan filed an application dated 27.02.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Commissioner of Police, Delhi seeking information on four points and

copies of documents pertaining to citation for grant of One Time Payment (OTP) to ASI Ravinder Singh.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 30.06.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that he is not satisfied with the replies of the CPIO and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) as both the replies are incomplete, vague and incorrect.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Rajeev Mohan was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri Parkash, Sub- Inspector, Delhi Police was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that point wise information on all the points of the RTI application except for point no. 2 was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 08.04.2015. The respondent in respect of point no. 2 of the RTI application, submitted that the relevant file was sent for re-examination to the Senior officers of Delhi Police and since the same was under consideration, information could not be provided to the appellant.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the respondent and perusing the records, observes that complete information was not provided to the appellant by the respondent. In view of this, the Commission directs the respondents to provide attested copies of documents regarding grant of ad-hoc promotion to the appellant as sought in point no. 2 of the RTI application to the appellant, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this decision.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer