

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/SS/C/2014/000034/KY

Complainant : Shri Sharad Kumar  
439-C, BuxiKhurd, Daraganj  
Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh-211006

Public Authority : The Sr. Dy. Accountant General (Admn.) & CPIO  
O/o. the Pr. Accountant General (G&SSA), Uttar Pradesh,  
15 Dayanand Marg, Allahabad-211001

Date of Hearing : 01.09.2016

Date of Decision : 01.09.2016

## Presence:

Complainant : Absent  
CPIO : Ms. Bhavika Joshi Lathe, DAG & CPIO

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **02.10.2013**, the complainant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **01.11.2013**, denied to provided the information u/s 8 (1) (j).
- III. The First Appeal (FA) is not on record.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA) order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **12.12.2013**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

## HEARING

**Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him.** Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the complainant, vide his RTI Application dated **02.10.2013**, has sought information from the respondents on the issue as contained therein. Respondents vide their response dated **01.11.2013**, denied to provided the information u/s 8 (1) (j). Hence, a Complaint before this Commission.

2. Further, on careful perusal of the contents of complainant's complaint dated **12.12.2013**, there appears that in the captioned petition, **no prayer clause**, as provided under the provisions of RTI Act 2005, has been incorporated by the Petitioner. Thus, it is not known

to the Commission, as to why petitioner has filed his petition before this Commission. In other words, no relief, as provided under the provisions of RTI Act 2005, has been sought from the Commission in his petition.

3. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.
4. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-  
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)  
Deputy Secretary

The Sr. Dy. Accountant General (Admn.) & CPIO  
O/o. the Pr. Accountant General (G&SSA), Uttar Pradesh,  
15 Dayanand Marg, Allahabad-211001

Shri Sharad Kumar  
439-C, BuxiKhurd, Daraganj  
Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh-211006