

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/YA/C/2015/000030/KY

Complainant : Ms. Rashmi Negi  
1 ChaksahNayar, Vidhan Sabha Road  
Dehradun, Utrakhand-248001

Public Authority : The CPIO  
M/o Science and Technology  
Dept. of Science & Technology  
Technology Bhawan, New Mehrouli Road,  
New Delhi-110016

Date of Hearing : 15.09.2016  
Date of Decision : 15.09.2016

## Presence:

Complainant : Absent  
CPIO : Dr. Bipin Joshi, Scientist-D & CPIO and  
Shri P K Dutta, Under Secretary (RTI)

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **NIL**, the appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, response is not on record.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) is not on record.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **NIL**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

## HEARING

**Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to her.** Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

At the outset, it is to be seen here that as per the contents of complainant's complaint dated **NIL**, there appears that in the captioned petition, **no prayer clause**, as provided under the provisions of RTI Act 2005, has been incorporated by the Petitioner. Thus, it is not known to the Commission, as to why petitioner has filed her petition before this

Commission. In other words, no relief, as provided under the provisions of RTI Act 2005, has been sought from the Commission in her petition.

2. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by her before the Commission to press her case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press her complaint before the Commission, after all, it is her case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that she is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing her own case before the Commission.
3. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)  
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)  
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO  
M/o Science and Technology  
Dept. of Science & Technology  
Technology Bhawan, New Mehrouli Road,  
New Delhi-110016

Ms. Rashmi Negi  
1 ChaksahNayar, Vidhan Sabha Road  
Dehradun, Uttrakhand-248001