

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. **CIC/RM/C/2014/000028/KY**

Complainant : Shri V. Stanley Paulus
A-11, Shalom, Tennis Club Enclave
Jawahar Nagar, Kowdiar, Trivandrum-695003

Public Authority : The Dy. Dte. Gen. of Foreign Trade & CPIO
M/o. Commerce & Industry
Director General of Foreign Trade
Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi-110011

Date of Hearing : 29.08.2016
Date of Decision : 29.08.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, Dy. Director General & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **10.05.2013**, the appellant sought information on **2 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **01.07.2013**, **21.10.2013**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **27.07.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **21.10.2013**, directed CPIO to provide the copies of public notices regarding procedure.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **12.11.2013**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the complainant, vide his RTI Application dated **10.05.2013**, sought information from the respondents on 2 issues as contained therein. Respondents vide their response dated **01.07.2013**, **denied** the required information to the complainant by stating that the information is already available in Public Domain. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the complainant on **27.07.2013** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **21.10.2013**, directed CPIO to

provide the copies of public notices regarding procedure. Further, non-compliance of FAA's order, CPIO vide his subsequent response dated 21.10.2013, provided the required information to the appellant. Hence, complainant has filed his complaint dated **12.11.2013** before the Commission.

2. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.
3. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The Dy. Dte. Gen. of Foreign Trade & CPIO
M/o. Commerce & Industry
Director General of Foreign Trade
Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi-110011

Shri V. Stanley Paulus
A-11, Shalom, Tennis Club Enclave
Jawahar Nagar, Kowdiar, Trivandrum-695003