

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26717355

Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/000012

Appellant: Mr. Rajpal Singh
R/o Vill/PS-Jani Khurd, Nr. Arya Samaj Mandir,
Distt. Meerut, UP.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
RTI Cell, Delhi Division, Northern Rly,
State Entry Road, New Delhi.

Date of Hearing: 22.12.2016

Dated of Decision: 22.12.2016

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 18.06.2014 seeking information regarding compliance of orders passed by CAT, Delhi High Court etc in his case with regard to implementation of 6th Pay Commission recommendations, payment of arrears to pensioners from January 2006, formula of re-fixation of pension etc.

2. The CPIO response is not on record. The appellant filed first appeal on 24.07.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA response is not on record. The appellant filed appeal on 30.12.2014 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing.

4. The appellant stated that he has received information from DRM office, Moradabad. The appellant stated that he has not been provided information by Baroda House Office. The appellant stated that he had filed a case in the High Court of Delhi regarding his pay fixation, which was decided in his favour. The appellant stated that the respondent has still not complied with the direction and his pay fixation has not been done correctly. The appellant stated that his junior's pay was fixed at Rs. 6375 while his pay was fixed at

Rs.6300/. The appellant stated that after filing RTI application, the respondent has issued a cheque for Rs. 2766/- for delayed payment period interest.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant has been replied to full in response to his RTI application. The respondent stated that appellant's pay fixation has been done as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. The respondent stated that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letters dated 08.08.2014, 12.08.2014, 15.12.2016. The respondent stated that the other persons who were party in the aforesaid case, their pay fixation has also been done in a similar manner. The respondent stated that the appellant has asked questions in his RTI application and information sought for in the form of questions cannot be provided under the RTI Act.

6. On query from the Commission, the appellant stated that he has received details of pay fixation, delayed payment interest and details of revised pay fixation as per 6th Pay Commission. The appellant stated that he is not satisfied with the pay fixation done in his case.

7. The respondent stated that if the appellant is not satisfied, he may approach the competent authority for redressal of his grievance.

Discussion/ observation:

8. The respondent has shown the copies of correspondence made with the appellant. It is observed that the desired information has been provided to the appellant.

Decision:

9. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar