

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/YA/A/2014/000006/SB

Dated 19.09.2016

Appellant : Shri Atam Prakash Mehta,
H-45, Back Side, New Seelampur,
Delhi-110 053.

Shri Atam Prakash Mehta,
A-54, IInd Floor,
Main Kanti Nagar,
Delhi-110 051.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Central Information Commission,
2nd Floor, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110 066.

Date of Hearing : 19.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 11.09.2013

CPIO's reply : 08.10.2013

First appeal filed on : 21.10.2013

FAA's Order : 13.11.2013

Second Appeal filed on : 02.12.2013

ORDER

1. Shri Atam Prakash Mehta filed an application dated 11.09.2013 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public

Information Officer (CPIO), Central Information Commission (CIC) seeking reply to his representation dated 11.07.2011 against non-compliance of Commission's Decision No. CIC/SS/A/2011/000357 dated 31.05.2011 by Delhi Traffic Police along with relevant copies of documents submitted by the Delhi Traffic Police and the CIC, if any.

2. The appellant filed second appeal dated 02.12.2013 before the Commission on the grounds that information was not provided by the CPIO on the plea that the relevant file is not readily traceable and that the information sought has still not been provided by the CPIO despite the FAA's order to furnish the reply after tracing the relevant file within 10 days from the receipt of his order. The appellant requested the Commission to direct the CPIO/FAA to provide the required information/copies of documents as requested in his RTI application.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Atam Prakash Mehta and the respondent Shri A.K. Sharma, CPIO. CIC were present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that he had sought information regarding the reply received, if any from the CPIO, Delhi Traffic Police in response to the letter dated 12.07.2011 sent by CIC, wherein, the CPIO and FAA, Delhi Traffic Police were directed to send their comments on the petition of the appellant to the Commission.

5. The respondent submitted that the relevant file is not traceable and therefore it cannot be ascertained as to whether any reply was received from the Delhi Traffic Police in compliance with the Commission's letter dated 12.07.2011.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing both the parties and perusing the records, directs the respondent to trace the relevant file and provide information as per available records to the appellant within a period of five weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this decision.

7. With the above observation, the appeal is disposed of.

8. Copy of decision be given free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer