

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhavan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, NEW DELHI-110 066**  
**TEL: 011-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/RM/A/2014/004301**

**Appellant:**

Shri Rameshwar Sharma,  
R/o C-343, Gali No.16,  
Bhajanpura, Delhi - 110053.

**Respondent:**

Central Public Information-Officer  
/Additional Registrar, Supreme Court of  
India, Tilak Marg , New Delhi

**Date of Hearing:**

06.09.2016

**Date of Decision:**

06.09.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application on 23.03.2013/03.05.2013 seeking information on 87 points regarding action taken by the Chief Minister Haryana, Prime Minister, and Supreme Court, High Court on complaints dated 01.12.2007 and 2013 received against the officers from Sonipat District with regard to the security expenses incurred on President of India, Prime Minister of India, Chief Minister of India, accountability of the Court and various other issues.

2. The appellant filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 03.05.2013. The CPIO and FAA response is not available on record. The appellant filed second appeal before the Commission on 17.06.2014 with a plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant did not participate in the hearing. The respondent participated in the hearing along with the Advocate Shri Harshvardhan Singh.

4. Respondent stated that the appellant vide letter dated 31.05.2013 was informed that the sought for information is not covered under section 2(f) of the RTI Act and it is beyond the jurisdiction and scope of the duties of the CPIO, Supreme Court of India under the Right to Information Act, 2005 to give explanation, opine, comment or advise on matters.

5. The respondent stated that the first appellate authority vide its order dated 25.02.2014 had disposed of the first appeal having concurred with the findings of the CPIO.

**Discussion/observations:**

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with RTI application are satisfactory.

**Decision:**

7. No intervention of the Commission is required in the matter

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the decision be given free of cost to the parties.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar