

No. 251/AA/SW
20-9-17

**BEFORE THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY i.e. DEPUTY
COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, SOUTH WEST DISTRICT, SEC. 9,
DWARKA, NEW DELHI-110075**

DCP/SW
Addl. DCP-I/SW
Addl. DCP-III/SW
Branch RTI P/haal
No. 27872
Dt. 20/9/17
Insp./Admn.

IN THE MATTER OF:--

Basant Lal Sharma, Advocate,
993/66, Opp. Deva Ram Park primary School
Tri Nagar, Delhi-35

....APPELLANT

AND

P.I.O.-cum-Addl. Dy. Commissioner of Police,
South West Distt., New Delhi.

.....RESPONDENT

**FIRST APPEAL AGAINST THE ORDER VIDE No.13123(ID-
2235)/RTI Cell(D-V)/SWD, dated, New Delhi, the 7/9/2017**

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

(i) That the appellant filed an application Under Right of Information Act, 2005 before your good Office on 11.8.2017 for getting the information regarding " Status of action taken report of complaint filed by the applicant SHO, Police Station Dwarka South, Sec.9, DWARKA dated 14.07.2017 and again SHO, Police Station Palam Village, dated 21.07.2017."

(ii) Whether the CCTV recording for dated 1.7.2017 inside and outside the court room during the proceedings of Appeal of: BASANT LAL SHARMA Complainant versus MAX SUPER SPL. HOSPITAL & SIR GANGA RAM HOSPITAL has been preserve/taken/recover from the office of MCI..

That the P.I.O.(Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police.) is a Govt. body is entitled to provide all the details regarding the said information but the PIO is not intentionally interesting to provide the complete details and information . However, the incomplete reply was given by (Sh. Gaurav Sharma) IPS, PIO-cum-Addl. Dy. Commissioner of Police, South West Distt. New Delhi.

That the present appeal is being filed against the incomplete reply dated 7.9.2017 received on 9.9.2017 on part of the respondent and due to inaction on the part of the respondent mentioning therein that "Point No. 1" As per report received from SHO/Palam Village, your complaints dated 14.07.2017 & 21.07.2017 are pending enquiry with SI Ajay Mishra and the reply of para No. (ii): "Point No. 2" No.

Regarding information in para (i) the PIO also avoided to give the suitable reply . The facts is that the complainant even lodged a complaint with P.S. Dwarka South. It came in the knowledge that the jurisdiction of above said incident was at P.S. Palam, thereafter the complainant moved the complaint against Doctors with P.S. Palam Village, but no action was taken and when complainant tried to meet with the SHO, Palam Village but the SHO asked to come after 15 days as he has no time to meet with complainant.

It is, therefore, requested to the Humble authority to provide the complete detail information whether the department is entitled for complete information and cost of the appeal may also be awarded in favour of the appellant. The applicant is ready to pay the requisite fee, if any..

Please call the appellant in person before passing the final decision.

DELHI.

DATED: 20.09.2017

APPELLANT

Basant
(BASANT LAL SHARMA)

M-9213969678