

8923
 D.A. No. ... /G.O./NE
 31/07/17

①

RTI

FIRST APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19(1) OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

I. D. No.....
 (For official use)

To

**The First Appellate Authority,
 Deputy Commissioner of Police,
 North-East, Seelampur,
 Delhi-110053**

1. Name and Address of the Applicant. P.P. Singh Rana,
 Advocate, Ch.No.31,
 Treasury Gate, Tis Hazari
 Courts, Delhi-110054

2. (I)(a) Name & Address of the PIO, Central Public Information Officer Delhi against the decision of whom the appeal is preferred. Dy. Commissioner of
 Police, North-East District,
 Delhi

- (b) Date of Application. 06.07.2017

- (c) Date of Reply from PIO/CPIO. 03.08.2017 bearing
 No.3969, ID-3519/25093-
 94/RTI/PHQ, dated
 06.07.2017

3. Particulars of the order including number, if any, against which the Reply is not satisfactory as per the questions are put

appeal is preferred.

by the applicant with regard to the application dated 14.09.2015 for seeking permission to prosecute the SHO/ Inspector K.P. Rana, then posted at P.S. Shahdara, District Shahdara, North-East, Delhi.

4. Brief facts leading to the Appeal :-

(i) Copies of RTI sent to you and their replies are annexed for your kind perusal.

5. If the appeal is preferred against Appellant sought deemed refusal, the particulars permission to prosecute of the application, including the then SHO, K.P. Rana number and date and name and of P.S. Shahdara, who address of the Central Public blatantly favoured in Information Officer to whom the collusion with one Sub-application was made. Inspector, Rajesh Kumar against whom number of complaints had already been filed by the appellant within the period of three years during the tenure of

said SHO, K.P. Rana, who by misusing the law and its provision and by acting involving himself had committed certain offences punishable under the provision of IPC, for which the appellant's client had sought permission as required under the law for his prosecution, but unfortunately the reply /information has not been supplied /informed to the applicant as were required, so therefore, the PIO has not given the information accordingly, hence this appeal.

6. Prayer or relief sought.

(1) That for not filing proper information /report, PIO be punished and prosecuted for not following the instruction under the RTI Act.

(2) The information

regarding the status of the application dated 14.09.2015, so that further step could be taken in the matter pending before the Court.

(3) Appellant be provided personal hearing and appearance before the Authority to argue and present his grievances.



(P.P. SINGH RANA)
ADVOCATE

CH.NO.31, NEAR TREASURY GATE,
TIS HAZARI COURTS,
DELHI-110054
Mob: 9899771497

Place: Delhi.

Dated: 31.08.2017